

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/522,202	01/27/2006	Jean-Marc Martin	GER-0674	. 3721
23413 CANTOR COI	7590 07/20/200°	7	EXAM	INER
CANTOR COLBURN, LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH			CHEN, SHIH CHAO	
BLOOMFIELI	D, CT 06002	V.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2821	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			. 07/20/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	•	7.14				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/522,202	MARTIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shih-Chao Chen	2821				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT tute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. sply be timely filed IHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	<i>' January 2006</i> .					
•	•					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	vance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	on.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.		•				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 January 2005</u> is/a		piected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	•					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	, ,				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)⊡ Some * c)⊡ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	<u> </u>					
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	riority documents have been r	received in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not r	eceived.				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/17/05</u> .	6) Other:	- ∙				

Art Unit: 2821

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

2. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on Jan. 17, 2005 has been considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Altwasser (U.S. Patent No. 6,64,551).

Regarding claim 1, Altwasser teaches in figures 1-4 resonant detection or identification antenna of the type comprising at least one turn (1, 2) which comprises at least one electrically conducting wire and is connected to a transponder electronic chip (5), the operating frequency of the antenna being greater than or equal to I0 MHz (i.e. 13.56 MHz, See col. 5, lines 22-23), an area defined by the at least one turn being substantially less than or equal to 0.30 m² (See col. 2, lines 26-36), wherein a total capacitance of the antenna is substantially greater than or equal to 140 pF (i.e. 500pF)

Art Unit: 2821

to 5nF, See col. 3, lines 52-55) and a Q-factor of the at least one turn is substantially greater than or equal to 30 (i.e. at least higher than 50, See col. 3, lines 33-35).

Regarding claim 2, Altwasser teaches in figures 1-4 resonant antenna according to Claim 1, wherein the transponder chip (5) has a first capacitor of predetermined value and in that a second capacitor (See col. 3, lines 58-64) is placed in parallel with the electronic chip in such a way that the overall capacitance of the antenna (6) is greater than or equal to 140 pF.

Regarding claim 3, Altwasser teaches in figures 1-4 resonant antenna according to Claim 1, wherein the at least one turn (1, 2) has mechanical properties suitable for the antenna (6) to retain by itself a predetermined shape (See Fig. 4).

Regarding claim 4, Altwasser teaches in figures 1-4 resonant antenna according to Claim 1, wherein the at least one turn (1, 2) is fastened to a support (i.e. the cover of the RFID transponder or a dielectric layer).

Regarding claim 5, Altwasser teaches in figures 1-4 resonant antenna according to Claim 1, wherein the at least one turn (1,2) comprises a single- strand wire.

Regarding claim 6, Altwasser teaches in figures 1-4 resonant antenna according to Claim 1, wherein the at least one turn (1, 2) comprises a wire formed from seven strands and the diameter of which is substantially equal to 0.25 mm (Examiner Note: It is inherent to have a wire formed from seven strands and the diameter of which is substantially equal to 0.25 mm because it is well-known in the art).

Regarding claim 7, Altwasser teaches in figures 1-4 resonant antenna according to Claim 1, wherein the at least one turn (1, 2) takes the form of a track deposited on a

Art Unit: 2821

substrate (See col. 5, lines 1-2) and the width and the thickness of which are substantially equal to at least 1.4 mm and 35 µm respectively (See col. 2, lines 26-36).

Regarding claim 8, Altwasser teaches in figures 1-4 resonant antenna according to Claim 1, wherein the antenna (6) comprises a single turn (1).

Regarding claim 10, Altwasser teaches in figures 1-4 resonant antenna according to Claim 1, wherein the antenna (6) comprises a first turn (1) and a second turn (2) which is placed inside the first turn and an area of the second turn lies substantially between 10% and 90% of an area of the first turn (See Fig. 4).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Altwasser (Cited above) in view of Coff et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,600,420).

Altwasser teaches every feature of the claimed invention in paragraph 4 except the turn is rectangular shapes having cut corners.

Coff et al. teaches in figure 3 the turn (14c) is rectangular shapes having cut corners.

In view of the above statement, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by using coil-type antenna as taught by Coff et al. in order to the reduced length of these metallic elements in this

Art Unit: 2821

geometry limits (See col. 10, lines 58-61).

7. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Altwasser (Cited above) in view of Liu et al. (U.S. Patent No. 7,154,449).

Altwasser teaches every feature of the claimed invention in paragraph 4 except the area of the second turn is substantially equal to half the area of the first turn.

Liu et al. teaches in figure 1 the area of the second turn [40] is substantially equal to half the area of the first turn [30].

In view of the above statement, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made by using the plurality of loop antennas as taught by Liu et al. in order to have each one of the plurality of loop antennas is electrically isolated from each other (See Abstract).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shih-Chao Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-1819. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 7 AM to 5:30 PM, Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas W. Owens can be reached on (571) 272-1662. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2821

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Shih-Chao Chen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2821 *3'fi'h -litoche* Shih-Chao Chen Primary Examinef

SXC July 15, 2007